

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,331	0,331 10/05/2004		George Theodoridis	60313-USA3	6316
48219	7590	04/24/2006		EXAMINER	
		STRATOR	NOLAN, JASON MICHAEL		
FMC CORPORATION 1735 MARKET STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADEL	PHIA, P.	A 19103	1626		
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/510,331	THEODORIDIS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D.	1626				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dissions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen		<b></b>					
2)	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-13 are currently pending in the instant application and are subject to a lack of unity restriction requirement.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 and § 372.

Claims 1-13 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).

PCT Rule 13.2 states unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1 (b), provides that "special technical features" mean those technical features, which, as a whole, define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B, Part 1 (e), provides combinations of different categories of claims and states:

- (i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claims for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for use of the said product, or
- (ii) in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process, or

<sup>&</sup>quot;The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of different categories in the same international application:

Application/Control Number: 10/510,331 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

(iii) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, and independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process,..."

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions that are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Due to numerous and widely divergent variables in the substituents of Formula I, for example: R<sup>1-31</sup>, A, B, D, G, E, Y, and M and the methods of use present, a precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. The following groups are *exemplary*:

- **Group I**: Claims 1-13, drawn to compounds and compositions of Formula I, wherein  $\mathbf{M} = \text{oxygen}$  and  $\mathbf{t} = 0$ , and methods for using said compounds.
- **Group II:** Claims 1-13, drawn to compounds and compositions of Formula I, wherein M =oxygen and t =1, and methods for using said compounds.
- **Group III:** Claims 1-13, drawn to compounds and compositions of Formula I, wherein M = sulfur and t = 0, and methods for using said compounds.
- **Group IV:** Claims 1-13, drawn to compounds and compositions of Formula I, wherein M = sulfur and t = 1, and methods for using said compounds.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. Again, this is not an exhaustive list, as it would be impossible to produce such a list under the time constraints due to the large volume of subject matter instantly claimed. Therefore, applicant may choose to elect a single invention (a product and a method of use) by identifying another specific embodiment, i.e. a value for R<sup>1-31</sup>, A, B, D, G, E, Y, and M not listed in the exemplary groups of the invention and Examiner will endeavor to group the same. The applicant may also choose to elect a single disclosed species or a single method of use of a single species and the Examiner will endeavor to create a group comprising the elected species.

Art Unit: 1626

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2 because, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.475(a) **Group I - Group IV** lack unity of invention since, under 37 CFR 1.475:

Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical feature among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features...those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The structural moiety common to **Group I – Group IV** is *lacking* as pointed out in the structural diagram below. The variable **M** may represent an oxygen or sulfur, from which patentably distinct structural cores exist:

$$R^{s} \xrightarrow{R^{s}} C$$

Therefore, Claims 1-13 are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept and there is a lack of unity of invention. The variables (R<sup>1-31</sup>, A, B, D, G, E, Y, and M) vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter impose a serious burden on the search and examination of the claimed subject matter.

Therefore, since the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical features,

Art Unit: 1626

the claims lack unity of invention and should be limited to a product and a method of use.

Furthermore, with respect to **Group I – Group IV**, even if unity of invention under 37 CFR 1.475(a) is not lacking, under 37 CFR 1.475(b) a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process.

Moreover, according to 37 CFR 1.475(c),

If an application contains claims to more or less that one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b), unity of invention might not be present.

In the instant case the claims are drawn to more than a product and a method of use, and according to 37 CFR 1.475(e),

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

As a result, since **M** may represent oxygen or sulfur, the products defined by such **Groups I-IV** are distinct inventions and require different searches and classifications. Therefore, the claims lack unity of invention and applicant is required to elect a single invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,331

Art Unit: 1626

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D.** whose telephone number is (571) 272-4356. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1626

Date: April 17, 2006